

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

E-Filed on 8/20/2007

1 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
2 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
3 Facsimile (702) 949-8321
4 Telephone (702) 949-8320

5 Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199
6 Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com
7 Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
8 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com

9 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

10 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 In re:
13 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,
14 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,
15 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND,
16 LLC,
17 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
USA SECURITIES, LLC, Debtors.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**OBJECTION OF THE USACM
LIQUIDATING TRUST TO PROOF OF
CLAIM OF TONY SUAREZ ASSERTING
PRIORITY STATUS WITH CERTIFICATE
OF SERVICE**

Hearing Date: September 28, 2007
Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

18 **Affects:**
19 All Debtors
20 USA Commercial Mortgage Company
21 USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
22 USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
23 USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
24 USA Securities, LLC

25 The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") objects to Proof of Claim
26 No. 10725-02395 Asserting Priority Status ("Objection") filed by Tony Suarez
("SUAREZ") and moves this Court, pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States
Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy
Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order denying priority status to the claim. The
USACM Trust is not, by this filing, objecting at this time to the Claim as an unsecured
Claim, but reserves the right to do so. This Objection is supported by the Court's record
and explained in the following Memorandum.

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
L.L.P.

LAWYERS

I. JURISDICTION

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

2. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

II. BACKGROUND

1. On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM, USA Securities, LLC (“USA Securities”), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC (“USA Realty”), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC (“DTDF”), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC (“FTDF” and together with DTDF, the “Funds”) (collectively the “Debtors”), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Post-petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC (“Mesirow”), who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

2. USACM is a Nevada Corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

3. This business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors, totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

4. Although USACM serviced and sometimes originated the loans in which the Direct Lenders invested, USACM was not a borrower on these loans.

5. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File

LEWIS
ANDROCA
LLP

LAWYERS

1 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest (the "Bar Date Order") [Docket No. 1280]. The
 2 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as
 3 the deadline ("Bar Date") for creditors to file proof of claims.

4. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on
 5 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All creditors, as well as Direct Lenders were served
 6 with a copy of the Bar Date order. [Docket No. 1358]

7. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending
 8 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket
 9 No. 1729].

10. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors'
 11 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" [Docket No. 2376].

12. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect
 13 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

14. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was
 15 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

16. SUAREZ filed a proof of claim against USACM asserting priority status.
 17 There is no basis in the proof of claim, any attached documentation, or in the books and
 18 records of the Debtors that would support a priority Claim. The USACM Trust contends
 19 that the Claim is not entitled to priority status and contends that it should be reclassified as
 20 a general unsecured Claim (albeit subject to potential further objection).

21. This objection is timely under the Plan and this Court's order [Docket No.
 22 4097] extending the deadline for all claims objections to October 9, 2007.

23 III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

24. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been
 25 filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the
 26 proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the

LEWIS
ANDROCA
LLP

LAWYERS

1 Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable
 2 against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such
 3 claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

4 2. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.
 5 § 502(a).

6 3. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule
 7 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption,
 8 the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the
 9 Claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*,
 10 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate
 11 burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim “remains at all times upon the
 12 claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035,
 13 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

14 **IV. OBJECTION TO CLAIM**

15 1. As more particularly described herein, the USACM Trust seeks in this
 16 Objection the reclassification of the Priority Claim filed by SUAREZ as a general
 17 unsecured Claim.

18 2. The Claim may be the subject of multiple objections herein for any of the
 19 reasons stated in this Objection. The Claim may also be subject to prior or subsequently
 20 filed objections.

21 3. The USACM Trust reserves the right to further object to any and all Claims
 22 filed by SUAREZ, whether or not the subject of this Objection, for allowance and/or
 23 distribution purposes on any other grounds. The USACM Trust further reserves the right
 24 to modify, supplement and/or amend this Objection as it pertains to any Claim or claimant
 herein.

25 4. Section 507 of the Bankruptcy Code establishes the ten types of Claims that
 26 are entitled to priority status. The Ninth Circuit has stated that “because the presumption

LEWIS
ANDROCA
LLP

LAWYERS

in bankruptcy cases is that the debtor's limited resources will be equally distributed among its creditors, *statutory priorities are narrowly construed.*”” *In re Peaches Records and Tapes, Inc.*, 102 B.R. 193, 195 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1989) (*quoting Trustees of Amalgamated Ins. Fund v. McFarlin's*, 789 F.2d 98, 100-01 (2nd Cir. 1986) (emphasis in original)). A party claiming priority status bears the burden of establishing priority status. *See e.g., In re Terra Distrib., Inc.*, 148 B.R. 598, 600 (Bankr. D. Idaho 1992) (stating that the “burden to demonstrate the elements required for priority status lies upon the claimant”).

5. The USACM Trust objects to the SUAREZ Claim on the basis that it is not one of the ten types of Claims entitled to priority status under § 507, and asserts it should be reclassified as a general unsecured Claim. The claimant has produced no evidence or documentation supporting the contention that it should be treated as a priority Claim. The USACM Trust does not object to the validity or amount of the Claim at this time but reserves the right to do so in the future.

V. CONCLUSION

The USACM Trust objects to the priority status asserted in SUAREZ's proof of claim and requests that the Court reclassify the Claim as a general unsecured Claim (albeit subject to possible additional objections). The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: August 20, 2007.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By: /s/ RC (#6593)

Susan M. Freeman, AZ 4199 (*pro hac vice*)

Rob Charles, NV 6593

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5996

Facsimile (702) 949-8321

Telephone (702) 949-8320

Email: RCharles@LRLaw.com

Counsel for USACM Liquidating Trust

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

Proof of Service

1 COPY of the foregoing served via email where an email address is listed, and if no email
2 address is listed, by first class mail, postage prepaid, on August 20, 2007, to the following
3 party:

4 Tony Suarez
5 16 Bland Street
6 Emerson, NJ 07630-1154

7 /s/ Candice R. Elliott
8 Candice R. Elliott
9 Lewis and Roca LLP

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26